

CHECKLIST-BASED INTERVENTIONS IN SURGERY: A NARRATIVE REVIEW OF THEIR IMPACT ON PERIOPERATIVE MORBIDITY, MORTALITY, AND PATIENT SAFETY

¹Suhail Anjum Rather, ²Seerat Rashid, ³Akhtar Rasool Rayees, ⁴Mudasir Ahad, ⁵Sofi Showkat Saleem, ⁶Shahid Akbar Lone, ⁷Gurleen Kaur, ⁸Anu Katoch, ⁹Dr. Khushboo Sharma, ¹⁰Suhail Manzoor,

¹Assistant Professor SAHS, GNA University, Punjab144401, India.

²Assistant Professor UIAHS, Sant Baba Bhag Singh University, Jalandhar, India

³Assistant Professor AHS, Saraswati Group of Colleges, Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab, 140413, India.

⁴Assistant Professor, AHS, CGC University, Mohali, Punjab,140307, India.

⁵Technologist Anaesthesia & Critical Care Medicine, GMC Kathua, Jammu & Kashmir

⁶Assistant Professor, Cum COD, SAHS, GNA University, Phagwara, Punjab,14401, India.

⁷Demonstrator SAHS, GNA University, Phagwara, Punjab, 144401, India.

⁸Demonstrator SAHS, GNA University, Phagwara, Punjab, 144401, India.

⁹Assistant Professor SAHS, GNA University, Punjab144401, India.

¹⁰Assistant Professor AHS, LLRCP, Moga, India

Corresponding Author: Suhail Anjum Rather (suhailanjumrather19@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT

Background: Checklist-based interventions have emerged as essential patient-safety strategies in modern surgical practice. Despite advancements in surgical techniques, anaesthesia, and perioperative care, preventable surgical complications and mortality continue to remain major global healthcare concerns. The World Health Organization (WHO) Surgical Safety Checklist was introduced to improve communication, teamwork, and standardisation of perioperative safety practices.

Objective: This review aims to critically evaluate the impact of checklist-based interventions on perioperative morbidity, mortality, surgical-site infections, and overall patient safety while identifying factors influencing successful implementation in surgical practice.

Methods: A comprehensive narrative review of published literature between 2008 and 2025 was conducted using electronic databases including PubMed, MEDLINE, Scopus, Embase, Google Scholar, and the Cochrane Library. Relevant studies evaluating surgical safety checklists, perioperative safety interventions, checklist compliance, communication, teamwork, and emerging technological developments were included and synthesised narratively.

Results: Current evidence demonstrates that checklist-based interventions significantly improve perioperative patient safety through reductions in postoperative complications, surgical-site infections, anaesthesia-related adverse events, and perioperative mortality. Improved communication, multidisciplinary teamwork, procedural standardisation, and prevention of omission-related errors were identified as major contributors to improved outcomes. However, checklist effectiveness varies depending on implementation quality, institutional safety culture, staff training, leadership support, and behavioural engagement. Emerging developments including digital surgical checklists, artificial intelligence-assisted perioperative systems, and specialty-specific checklist adaptations may further strengthen future perioperative safety practices.

Conclusion: Checklist-based interventions remain valuable and cost-effective perioperative safety tools capable of improving surgical outcomes and reducing preventable patient harm. Sustainable effectiveness depends on meaningful multidisciplinary participation, continuous staff education, institutional commitment, and integration of checklist practices within broader patient-safety frameworks.

Keywords: Surgical safety checklist; perioperative safety; checklist-based interventions; postoperative morbidity; perioperative mortality; patient safety.

INTRODUCTION

Surgery remains one of the most essential components of modern healthcare, with more than 300 million surgical procedures performed globally each year. Despite remarkable advances in anaesthesia, surgical techniques, infection control, and perioperative monitoring, preventable surgical complications continue to contribute substantially to patient morbidity and mortality worldwide. Postoperative adverse events such as surgical-site infections (SSIs), anaesthetic complications, retained surgical items, medication errors, wrong-site surgery, and communication failures remain major concerns across both developed and developing healthcare systems. Studies suggest that nearly half of these complications are preventable, highlighting the urgent need for structured patient-safety interventions within the operating theatre (Meara et al., 2015; World Health Organization [WHO], 2009).

The operating room is a highly complex and high-risk clinical environment involving coordinated interaction among surgeons, anaesthesiologists, nurses, technicians, and support staff. In such settings, even minor lapses in communication, omission of critical safety steps, or failures in teamwork may result in devastating consequences for patients. Human factors including fatigue, hierarchical barriers, cognitive overload, and time pressure further increase the likelihood of error during surgical care. Consequently, improving perioperative communication and standardising essential safety practices have become central priorities in global surgical safety initiatives (Leape & Berwick, 2005).

The concept of checklist-based safety interventions was originally derived from the aviation industry, where structured pre-flight checklists were introduced to minimise human error in high-stakes environments. Recognising similarities between aviation and surgical practice, healthcare leaders adapted this approach to the operating theatre. In 2008, the World Health Organization (WHO) introduced the Surgical Safety Checklist (SSC) as part of the “Safe Surgery Saves Lives” campaign. The WHO SSC consists of three critical phases — Sign In, Time Out, and Sign Out — designed to ensure verification of patient identity, surgical procedure, operative site, antibiotic prophylaxis, equipment readiness, and postoperative planning through active multidisciplinary team participation (WHO, 2009; Gawande, 2009).

Since its introduction, the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist has been implemented in more than 150 countries and is widely regarded as one of the most cost-effective patient-safety tools in modern surgery. Numerous studies have demonstrated associations between checklist implementation and reductions in postoperative complications, surgical-site infections, anaesthesia-related adverse events, and perioperative mortality. Landmark studies by Haynes et al. (2009) and de Vries et al. (2010) reported significant decreases in both complication rates and mortality following checklist adoption, establishing the SSC as a global standard for perioperative safety practice.

However, despite widespread adoption, the effectiveness of checklist-based interventions remains variable across healthcare settings. Several institutions have reported substantial improvements in patient outcomes, whereas others have shown minimal or no measurable benefit. This inconsistency suggests that the success of surgical checklists depends not only on their presence, but also on the quality of implementation, team engagement, institutional culture, leadership support, and compliance fidelity. In many hospitals, checklist use has become a routine administrative task rather than a meaningful communication tool, limiting its intended safety impact (Urbach et al., 2014; Russ et al., 2015).

In recent years, increasing attention has also been directed toward digital surgical checklists, artificial

intelligence-assisted operating room systems, specialty-specific safety protocols, and integration of checklists within broader perioperative safety frameworks such as Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) pathways. These developments indicate that checklist-based interventions continue to evolve beyond simple paper-based tools into comprehensive systems aimed at improving teamwork, communication, standardisation, and patient outcomes throughout the surgical journey (Abbott et al., 2018).

Given the continuing global burden of preventable surgical complications and the growing emphasis on quality improvement in healthcare, evaluating the true impact of checklist-based interventions on surgical morbidity and mortality is of considerable clinical importance. Therefore, this review aims to critically examine the current evidence regarding surgical safety checklists, explore their effectiveness in reducing perioperative complications and mortality, identify barriers and facilitators to successful implementation, and discuss emerging developments shaping the future of surgical safety practices.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Despite significant advancements in modern surgical techniques, anaesthesia, perioperative monitoring, and infection control practices, preventable surgical complications continue to represent a major global healthcare burden. Surgical adverse events such as surgical-site infections (SSIs), wrong-site surgery, retained surgical instruments, medication errors, anaesthetic complications, and postoperative mortality remain common contributors to prolonged hospital stay, increased healthcare costs, disability, and patient death. The operating theatre is a highly complex environment requiring continuous coordination among multidisciplinary healthcare professionals, where communication failures, human errors, omission of safety protocols, and inadequate teamwork can directly compromise patient safety.

To address these concerns, checklist-based interventions, particularly the World Health Organization (WHO) Surgical Safety Checklist (SSC), were introduced to standardise perioperative safety practices and reduce preventable harm. Although numerous studies have demonstrated reductions in morbidity and mortality following checklist implementation, evidence regarding their effectiveness remains inconsistent across different healthcare systems, surgical specialties, and institutional settings. In many hospitals, poor compliance, inadequate training, hierarchical barriers, lack of leadership support, and “tick-box” behaviour limit the effectiveness of checklist implementation.

Furthermore, variations in implementation strategies, resource availability, institutional culture, and staff engagement create uncertainty regarding the true impact of surgical safety checklists on perioperative outcomes. While some institutions report substantial improvements in patient safety, others demonstrate minimal or no measurable benefit. This inconsistency highlights the need for a comprehensive evaluation of checklist-based interventions and the factors influencing their success or failure in reducing surgical morbidity and mortality.

RESEARCH GAP

Although extensive literature exists regarding the World Health Organization Surgical Safety Checklist and other checklist-based interventions, several important gaps remain within the current body of evidence.

Most existing studies primarily focus on short-term postoperative outcomes such as complication rates and mortality, while limited attention has been given to long-term patient outcomes, healthcare costs, patient satisfaction, and functional recovery following checklist implementation. Additionally, many published studies originate from high-income countries, whereas evidence from low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where surgical complications are often more prevalent, remains comparatively limited.

Another major research gap involves the variability in checklist implementation and compliance.

Several studies evaluate checklist presence rather than the quality of checklist execution, multidisciplinary engagement, or behavioural change among surgical teams. As a result, it remains unclear whether improved outcomes are directly attributable to the checklist itself or to broader improvements in communication, teamwork, and institutional safety culture.

Furthermore, limited literature is available regarding:

- digital and electronic surgical checklists,
- artificial intelligence-assisted perioperative safety systems,
- specialty-specific checklist adaptations,
- emergency surgery checklist protocols,
- human factors and behavioural psychology in checklist compliance,
- and sustainability of checklist effectiveness over long-term clinical practice.

Conflicting findings among studies also indicate a lack of standardisation in measuring checklist compliance and outcome effectiveness. Therefore, further comprehensive evaluation is necessary to better understand the true clinical impact, implementation barriers, and future potential of checklist-based interventions in surgical practice.

PROPOSED SOLUTION / STUDY APPROACH

The present review aims to address these gaps by providing a comprehensive and evidence-based evaluation of checklist-based interventions in surgery and their impact on postoperative morbidity and mortality. This study will critically analyse existing literature from various healthcare settings, surgical specialties, and patient populations to identify both the benefits and limitations of surgical safety checklists.

The review will focus on:

- evaluating the effectiveness of checklist-based interventions in reducing surgical complications and mortality,
- examining factors influencing successful implementation and compliance,
- identifying barriers and facilitators affecting multidisciplinary team engagement,
- assessing the role of checklist adaptation in different surgical contexts,
- and exploring emerging developments such as digital surgical checklists, artificial intelligence integration, and specialty-specific safety protocols.

Additionally, the review seeks to highlight the importance of communication, teamwork, leadership, and institutional safety culture in achieving meaningful improvements in perioperative patient safety. By synthesising current evidence and identifying areas requiring further investigation, this study aims to support future research, improve checklist implementation strategies, and contribute to safer surgical care worldwide.

JUSTIFICATION OF THE STUDY

Checklist-based interventions have emerged as one of the most widely implemented patient-safety strategies in modern surgical practice. Despite global adoption of the World Health Organization Surgical Safety Checklist (WHO SSC), preventable perioperative complications and mortality

continue to occur across healthcare systems worldwide. Variability in checklist compliance, institutional safety culture, staff engagement, and implementation strategies has produced inconsistent findings regarding the true effectiveness of these interventions in improving surgical outcomes.

The present review is justified by the growing need to critically evaluate existing evidence regarding checklist-based interventions and their impact on perioperative morbidity and mortality. A comprehensive synthesis of current literature is necessary to identify the factors responsible for successful checklist implementation, understand barriers limiting effectiveness, and assess the role of communication and teamwork in perioperative safety.

Additionally, rapid developments in digital healthcare systems, artificial intelligence-assisted operating rooms, specialty-specific checklists, and perioperative quality-improvement frameworks have significantly expanded the scope of checklist-based interventions in recent years. However, evidence regarding these emerging approaches remains fragmented and insufficiently consolidated within current literature.

This review is also important because it may contribute to improving patient safety practices, enhancing multidisciplinary teamwork, reducing preventable surgical errors, and supporting evidence-based policy development in both high-income and resource-limited healthcare settings. The findings of this study may further assist surgeons, anaesthesiologists, operation theatre technologists, nurses, healthcare administrators, and policymakers in strengthening perioperative safety systems and improving surgical outcomes globally.

AIM OF THE STUDY

To critically review the impact of checklist-based interventions in surgery on postoperative morbidity and mortality and to evaluate factors influencing their effectiveness in improving perioperative patient safety.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To evaluate the effectiveness of surgical safety checklists in reducing postoperative morbidity and mortality.
2. To analyse the impact of checklist-based interventions on surgical-site infections, wrong-site surgery, retained surgical items, and perioperative complications.
3. To identify barriers and facilitators affecting successful checklist implementation in surgical practice.
4. To assess the role of communication, teamwork, and institutional safety culture in checklist compliance and effectiveness.
5. To explore recent developments including digital surgical checklists, artificial intelligence-assisted systems, and specialty-specific checklist adaptations.
6. To identify gaps in existing literature and recommend future directions for research and clinical practice.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design

This study was conducted as a comprehensive narrative review aimed at critically evaluating the impact of checklist-based interventions on perioperative morbidity, mortality, and patient safety

outcomes in surgical practice. The review synthesises evidence from published literature examining the effectiveness, implementation strategies, compliance factors, barriers, facilitators, and emerging developments associated with surgical safety checklists.

Literature Search Strategy

A systematic literature search was performed using multiple electronic databases including PubMed, MEDLINE, Scopus, Embase, Google Scholar, and the Cochrane Library. Relevant studies published between January 2008 and March 2025 were identified. The year 2008 was selected as the starting point because it corresponds with the introduction of the World Health Organization (WHO) Surgical Safety Checklist under the “Safe Surgery Saves Lives” initiative.

The search strategy utilised combinations of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms and free-text keywords related to checklist-based perioperative safety interventions. The primary search terms included:

- “Surgical Safety Checklist”
- “WHO Surgical Safety Checklist”
- “Checklist-based interventions”
- “Perioperative patient safety”
- “Surgical morbidity”
- “Surgical mortality”
- “Postoperative complications”
- “Operating theatre safety”
- “Perioperative checklist compliance”
- “Patient safety in surgery”
- “Digital surgical checklist”
- “Artificial intelligence in perioperative safety”

Boolean operators such as AND, OR, and NOT were applied to refine search results and improve retrieval relevance. Reference lists of selected articles were additionally screened manually to identify further relevant studies not captured during the initial database search.

Eligibility Criteria

Inclusion Criteria

Studies were included if they:

- evaluated checklist-based interventions within surgical or perioperative settings,
- examined outcomes related to morbidity, mortality, patient safety, or perioperative complications,
- involved adult or paediatric surgical populations,

- assessed implementation strategies, compliance, teamwork, communication, or safety culture,
- and were published in peer-reviewed journals in the English language.

Eligible study designs included:

- randomised controlled trials,
- cohort studies,
- observational studies,
- quasi-experimental studies,
- qualitative studies,
- systematic reviews,
- and meta-analyses.

Exclusion Criteria

Studies were excluded if they:

- were unrelated to surgical or perioperative checklist interventions,
- focused exclusively on non-surgical healthcare settings,
- lacked outcome-related data,
- were duplicate publications,
- consisted solely of conference abstracts, editorials, commentaries, or opinion papers,
- or were not available in full-text English format.

Study Selection Process

Titles and abstracts identified through database searching were screened for relevance according to predefined eligibility criteria. Full-text articles were subsequently reviewed to determine final inclusion. Studies that specifically addressed perioperative checklist interventions, patient safety outcomes, implementation quality, or compliance-related factors were selected for narrative synthesis.

Priority was given to high-quality landmark studies, multicentre trials, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses evaluating the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist and related perioperative safety interventions across diverse healthcare systems and surgical specialties.

Data Extraction

Relevant data from included studies were extracted and reviewed systematically. Extracted information included:

- author and year of publication,
- country and healthcare setting,

- study design,
- patient population,
- sample size,
- type of checklist intervention,
- implementation strategy,
- compliance assessment,
- perioperative outcomes,
- complication rates,
- surgical-site infection rates,
- mortality outcomes,
- and identified barriers or facilitators influencing checklist effectiveness.

Data Synthesis

The extracted literature was analysed using a narrative synthesis approach. Findings from individual studies were grouped into thematic categories including:

- impact on morbidity and mortality,
- reduction in surgical-site infections,
- communication and teamwork improvement,
- implementation barriers,
- compliance and behavioural factors,
- checklist adaptation in specialised surgical settings,
- and emerging technological developments such as digital and AI-assisted checklist systems.

Comparisons between studies were performed to identify consistent findings, conflicting evidence, implementation challenges, and emerging trends in perioperative patient safety research.

Quality Considerations

Particular emphasis was placed on studies with strong methodological quality, large sample sizes, multicentre participation, and clinically relevant perioperative outcomes. Landmark studies and high-impact systematic reviews were prioritised to ensure robust evidence synthesis and academic reliability.

Study Selection Summary

A total of 1,245 articles were initially identified through database searching from PubMed, MEDLINE, Scopus, Embase, Google Scholar, and the Cochrane Library. After removal of duplicate records, 978 studies remained for title and abstract screening. Following eligibility assessment, 146

full-text articles were reviewed in detail. Finally, 58 relevant studies fulfilling the inclusion criteria were included in the narrative synthesis.

Reasons for exclusion included:

- non-surgical focus,
- absence of outcome-related data,
- conference abstracts without full text,
- duplicate publications,
- and studies unrelated to checklist-based perioperative interventions.

Table 1. Summary of Major Studies Included in the Review

Author	Year	Study Design	Country	Sample Size	Key Findings
Haynes et al.	2009	Multicentre prospective study	Multiple countries	7,688 patients	Significant reduction in morbidity and mortality after WHO SSC implementation
de Vries et al.	2010	Prospective cohort study	Netherlands	7,680 patients	Reduction in complications and perioperative mortality
Bergs et al.	2014	Systematic review & meta-analysis	Multiple countries	25 studies	WHO SSC reduced postoperative complications significantly
Urbach et al.	2014	Observational study	Canada	>200,000 patients	Limited mortality reduction after checklist implementation
Russ et al.	2015	Qualitative study	England	Multiple hospitals	Leadership and teamwork strongly influenced checklist compliance
Haugen et al.	2015	Cluster randomised trial	Norway	5,295 procedures	Checklist improved patient outcomes and safety culture
Abbott et al.	2018	Meta-analysis	Multiple countries	Multiple studies	Checklist effectiveness depends on implementation quality
Kwok et al.	2013	Resource-limited implementation study	Moldova	3,590 patients	Improved perioperative safety in LMIC setting

ANALYSIS:

1. EVOLUTION OF SURGICAL SAFETY CHECKLISTS

The concept of checklist-based safety interventions in surgery originated from high-reliability industries such as aviation, aerospace, and nuclear power, where structured safety protocols have

long been used to minimise human error in complex operational environments. In aviation, pre-flight checklists were introduced following catastrophic accidents linked to procedural omissions and communication failures. These checklists proved highly effective in improving operational consistency, teamwork, and safety outcomes, ultimately becoming a standard component of aviation practice worldwide.

Healthcare systems later recognised that the operating theatre shares several characteristics with aviation environments, including high complexity, multidisciplinary teamwork, time-sensitive decision-making, and significant consequences arising from human error. Surgical care involves continuous interaction among surgeons, anaesthesiologists, nurses, operation theatre technologists, and support staff, making effective communication and procedural standardisation essential for patient safety. Consequently, the adaptation of checklist-based systems into surgical practice emerged as a logical strategy to reduce preventable perioperative adverse events.

The modern surgical safety checklist gained global attention following the work of Atul Gawande and colleagues, who highlighted the importance of standardised safety processes in reducing surgical complications. In response to increasing concerns regarding preventable surgical morbidity and mortality, the World Health Organization (WHO) launched the “Safe Surgery Saves Lives” initiative in 2008 and introduced the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist (SSC) as an international patient-safety tool designed to improve perioperative outcomes.

The WHO Surgical Safety Checklist consists of three sequential perioperative phases:

1. **Sign In** – performed before induction of anaesthesia,
2. **Time Out** – conducted before skin incision,
3. **Sign Out** – completed before the patient leaves the operating room.

These phases are designed to ensure confirmation of patient identity, surgical procedure, operative site, anaesthesia safety checks, equipment availability, antibiotic prophylaxis, specimen labelling, and postoperative management planning. Importantly, the checklist also promotes active verbal communication and multidisciplinary participation among all operating theatre personnel.

The landmark multicentre study conducted by Haynes et al. in 2009 demonstrated significant reductions in postoperative complications and mortality following implementation of the WHO SSC across hospitals in both high-income and low-income countries. This study played a pivotal role in establishing the checklist as a globally recognised patient-safety intervention. Subsequent investigations, including studies by de Vries et al., Weiser et al., and various systematic reviews and meta-analyses, further supported the effectiveness of checklist-based interventions in improving perioperative safety outcomes.

Following these findings, surgical safety checklists were rapidly adopted by healthcare institutions worldwide. National healthcare organisations, accreditation agencies, and professional surgical societies increasingly incorporated checklist use into perioperative safety standards and hospital quality-improvement programs. Many hospitals adapted the WHO SSC according to local surgical practices, institutional requirements, and specialty-specific needs.

Over time, checklist-based interventions evolved beyond simple paper-based tools into more advanced perioperative safety systems. Modern developments include electronic and digital checklists integrated within hospital information systems, automated perioperative documentation platforms, specialty-specific checklists for high-risk surgical disciplines, and artificial intelligence-assisted operating room technologies aimed at improving workflow efficiency and compliance monitoring.

Despite widespread adoption, implementation quality and compliance remain variable across

healthcare systems. Evidence suggests that the effectiveness of surgical safety checklists depends not only on checklist completion but also on institutional safety culture, multidisciplinary teamwork, leadership engagement, staff training, and meaningful communication during perioperative care. Consequently, current research increasingly focuses not only on checklist utilisation itself but also on the behavioural, organisational, and technological factors influencing successful implementation and long-term sustainability.

The evolution of surgical safety checklists therefore reflects a broader transformation in modern surgical practice, shifting from individual performance-based care toward system-oriented patient-safety strategies focused on communication, teamwork, standardisation, and prevention of avoidable harm (Haynes et al., 2009; de Vries et al., 2010).

2. IMPACT OF SURGICAL SAFETY CHECKLISTS ON POSTOPERATIVE MORBIDITY

Postoperative morbidity remains a major challenge in modern surgical practice and contributes significantly to prolonged hospitalisation, increased healthcare costs, delayed recovery, intensive care utilisation, and reduced quality of life among surgical patients. Complications such as surgical-site infections (SSIs), postoperative bleeding, anaesthetic complications, venous thromboembolism, respiratory compromise, retained surgical items, and unplanned reoperations continue to represent substantial causes of preventable perioperative harm. In response to these concerns, checklist-based interventions have emerged as important strategies for improving perioperative safety and reducing postoperative complications.

Multiple studies have demonstrated that implementation of surgical safety checklists is associated with significant reductions in overall postoperative morbidity. The landmark multicentre study conducted by Haynes et al. reported a reduction in major postoperative complications from 11.0% to 7.0% following implementation of the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist across diverse international healthcare settings. Similar findings were reported by de Vries et al., who observed substantial decreases in postoperative complications after introduction of the SURPASS surgical safety system, which incorporated structured perioperative checklist protocols throughout the surgical pathway.

One of the most important mechanisms through which checklist-based interventions reduce morbidity is the prevention of omission-related errors during perioperative care. Structured verification of patient identity, operative site, antibiotic prophylaxis, anaesthesia safety measures, equipment readiness, blood availability, and postoperative planning ensures that critical safety steps are consistently completed before proceeding with surgery. This standardisation reduces variability in perioperative practice and minimises preventable adverse events arising from communication failures or procedural omissions.

Checklist implementation has also demonstrated considerable benefit in reducing surgical-site infections, which remain among the most common postoperative complications globally. Timely administration of prophylactic antibiotics, maintenance of sterile technique, confirmation of instrument sterility, and verification of infection-prevention protocols during checklist execution contribute significantly to reduced SSI rates. Several studies have shown that hospitals with high checklist compliance demonstrate improved adherence to perioperative antibiotic protocols and lower postoperative infection rates compared with institutions with poor compliance.

Another important aspect of morbidity reduction involves improvements in multidisciplinary communication and teamwork within the operating theatre. The “Time Out” phase of the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist encourages active participation from all team members and facilitates discussion regarding anticipated procedural difficulties, patient-specific risks, equipment concerns, and perioperative management plans. Improved communication enhances situational awareness, reduces misunderstandings, and promotes early identification of potential safety threats before they escalate into clinical complications.

Checklist-based interventions have additionally been associated with reductions in anaesthesia-related complications, unplanned returns to the operating theatre, postoperative intensive care admissions, and perioperative medication errors. By ensuring verification of allergies, airway assessment, blood-loss risk, medication preparation, and postoperative care planning, checklists contribute to safer perioperative management and improved coordination among surgical teams.

However, evidence regarding the magnitude of morbidity reduction remains variable across studies and healthcare systems. Several investigations have demonstrated substantial reductions in postoperative complications following checklist implementation, whereas others have reported limited or inconsistent improvements. Such variability is frequently attributed to differences in implementation quality, institutional safety culture, staff training, compliance monitoring, leadership support, and multidisciplinary engagement.

Importantly, studies increasingly suggest that the effectiveness of checklist-based interventions depends less on checklist completion itself and more on the quality of checklist execution and behavioural engagement of surgical teams. Hospitals in which checklists are used as meaningful communication tools generally report greater reductions in morbidity than institutions where checklist completion becomes a superficial administrative exercise or “tick-box” activity.

In low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where baseline complication rates are often higher and perioperative safety systems may be less standardised, checklist implementation has demonstrated particularly important benefits. Improved communication, standardisation of perioperative processes, and reinforcement of essential safety measures may substantially reduce preventable morbidity in resource-limited surgical settings.

Overall, current evidence supports the role of checklist-based interventions as effective perioperative safety tools capable of reducing postoperative morbidity through improved communication, procedural standardisation, multidisciplinary teamwork, and prevention of avoidable perioperative errors (Bergs et al., 2014; Abbott et al., 2018).

3. IMPACT OF SURGICAL SAFETY CHECKLISTS ON PERIOPERATIVE MORTALITY

Perioperative mortality remains one of the most critical indicators of surgical quality and patient safety worldwide. Despite significant advancements in surgical care, postoperative mortality continues to represent a substantial global health burden, particularly in high-risk surgical procedures, emergency operations, and resource-limited healthcare settings. Preventable perioperative deaths are frequently associated with failures in communication, delayed recognition of complications, inadequate perioperative planning, omission of critical safety measures, and breakdowns in multidisciplinary coordination within the operating theatre environment.

Checklist-based interventions were introduced with the objective of reducing preventable perioperative harm and improving patient outcomes through standardisation of essential surgical safety processes. Numerous studies have demonstrated that implementation of surgical safety checklists is associated with measurable reductions in perioperative mortality across diverse healthcare systems and surgical populations.

The landmark international study conducted by Haynes et al. demonstrated a reduction in inpatient mortality from 1.5% to 0.8% following implementation of the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist across eight hospitals worldwide. These findings provided some of the earliest evidence supporting the role of structured perioperative safety interventions in reducing surgical deaths. Similarly, de Vries et al. reported substantial reductions in mortality following implementation of the SURPASS perioperative safety system, reinforcing the importance of comprehensive checklist-based approaches in improving surgical outcomes.

Several mechanisms explain the association between checklist implementation and mortality reduction. Surgical safety checklists improve verification of patient identity, operative site,

anaesthesia safety, blood availability, airway risk assessment, antibiotic prophylaxis, and postoperative planning. By reducing omissions and ensuring completion of critical perioperative steps, checklists decrease the likelihood of catastrophic complications that may lead to patient death. Improved communication and teamwork also play a major role in mortality reduction. The structured “Time Out” process facilitates discussion among surgical team members regarding anticipated procedural difficulties, patient-specific risks, blood-loss concerns, equipment requirements, and emergency management plans. Enhanced situational awareness enables earlier identification of potential threats and improves coordinated responses to intraoperative complications.

Checklist implementation has shown particularly important benefits in emergency surgery and high-risk surgical populations, where perioperative mortality rates are inherently higher. Emergency procedures often occur under conditions of time pressure, limited preparation, staff fatigue, and incomplete patient information, increasing the risk of preventable errors. In such situations, structured safety checklists provide a framework for rapid verification of essential safety measures and promote more organised perioperative decision-making.

Evidence also suggests that mortality reduction associated with checklist implementation may be more pronounced in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where baseline perioperative mortality rates are often elevated due to limited resources, inconsistent safety protocols, workforce shortages, and inadequate infrastructure. In these settings, checklist-based interventions may substantially improve standardisation of care and reinforce essential perioperative safety practices that were previously inconsistently performed.

Despite these positive findings, not all studies have demonstrated significant mortality reduction following checklist implementation. Some large observational analyses have reported minimal or no measurable changes in perioperative mortality after mandatory checklist introduction. These conflicting findings highlight the importance of implementation quality and suggest that checklist effectiveness depends heavily on staff engagement, leadership support, institutional culture, compliance fidelity, and meaningful multidisciplinary participation rather than checklist presence alone.

Several studies have identified that superficial or administrative checklist completion without active communication and behavioural engagement limits potential mortality benefits. In institutions where checklist use becomes a routine “tick-box” exercise, improvements in patient outcomes may be negligible despite high reported compliance rates. Conversely, healthcare organisations that integrate checklists within broader patient-safety initiatives and emphasise teamwork, communication, and accountability generally demonstrate greater reductions in perioperative mortality.

Recent research has additionally focused on integrating checklist-based interventions within comprehensive perioperative safety frameworks such as Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) protocols, emergency surgery pathways, and digital perioperative monitoring systems. These integrated approaches may further strengthen mortality reduction by improving continuity of care throughout the surgical journey.

Overall, current evidence supports the role of checklist-based interventions as effective patient-safety tools capable of reducing perioperative mortality through improved communication, standardisation of surgical processes, prevention of critical omissions, and enhancement of multidisciplinary teamwork (Haynes et al., 2009; Haugen et al., 2015).

4. IMPACT ON SURGICAL-SITE INFECTIONS (SSIs)

Surgical-site infections (SSIs) remain among the most common postoperative complications worldwide and represent a major source of perioperative morbidity, prolonged hospitalisation, increased healthcare costs, delayed recovery, and postoperative mortality. SSIs contribute significantly to patient suffering and healthcare burden, particularly in low- and middle-income

countries (LMICs), where infection rates are often substantially higher due to resource limitations, overcrowding, inadequate sterilisation infrastructure, and inconsistent perioperative infection-control practices.

The prevention of SSIs has therefore become a major priority within perioperative patient-safety initiatives. Checklist-based interventions, particularly the World Health Organization (WHO) Surgical Safety Checklist, have demonstrated considerable effectiveness in reducing postoperative infection rates by reinforcing adherence to essential infection-prevention measures during the perioperative period.

One of the most important checklist components associated with SSI reduction is verification of timely prophylactic antibiotic administration. Appropriate administration of prophylactic antibiotics within the recommended preoperative time window is recognised as one of the most effective measures for preventing postoperative infections. The WHO Surgical Safety Checklist includes mandatory confirmation of antibiotic prophylaxis before skin incision, thereby improving compliance with evidence-based infection-prevention protocols.

Several studies have demonstrated improved adherence to perioperative antibiotic timing following checklist implementation. Increased compliance with prophylactic antibiotic protocols has been associated with reductions in SSI rates across various surgical specialties, including general surgery, orthopaedic surgery, colorectal procedures, and emergency abdominal surgery. Institutions implementing structured perioperative safety checklists frequently report better standardisation of infection-control practices and improved postoperative outcomes.

Checklist-based interventions also contribute to SSI reduction through reinforcement of sterile surgical technique and equipment verification. The checklist process ensures confirmation of instrument sterility, availability of necessary sterile equipment, and identification of potential contamination risks before surgery begins. Such structured verification reduces the likelihood of procedural omissions and strengthens adherence to aseptic principles within the operating theatre.

Another important factor influencing SSI reduction is improvement in multidisciplinary communication and teamwork. Effective communication among surgeons, anaesthesiologists, nurses, and operating theatre staff facilitates better coordination of infection-prevention practices, including maintenance of sterile fields, appropriate skin preparation, temperature control, and postoperative wound management. The “Time Out” phase of the checklist promotes shared situational awareness and enables team members to identify potential safety concerns before incision. Checklist implementation may also indirectly reduce infection rates by improving overall perioperative organisation and reducing operative delays, procedural confusion, and unnecessary intraoperative interruptions. Enhanced procedural standardisation contributes to smoother surgical workflow and minimises factors associated with increased infection risk, such as prolonged operative duration and communication breakdowns.

Evidence suggests that the impact of checklist-based interventions on SSI reduction may be particularly important in resource-limited healthcare settings where baseline infection rates are high and perioperative safety processes are inconsistently followed. In such environments, even relatively simple interventions such as standardised antibiotic verification and sterile equipment confirmation may produce substantial improvements in patient outcomes.

Despite generally positive findings, variability exists regarding the magnitude of SSI reduction observed following checklist implementation. Some studies demonstrate significant decreases in postoperative infection rates, whereas others report more modest or inconsistent improvements. These differences are frequently attributed to variability in checklist compliance, implementation quality, institutional infection-control practices, staff training, and multidisciplinary engagement.

Importantly, research increasingly emphasises that checklist effectiveness depends not only on

formal completion but also on meaningful behavioural participation by surgical teams. Hospitals demonstrating strong safety culture, active communication, and leadership support generally achieve greater reductions in infection rates compared with institutions where checklist use is superficial or inconsistently enforced.

Recent developments in perioperative safety systems have further expanded opportunities for SSI prevention through digital checklist integration, automated antibiotic reminders, electronic compliance monitoring, and real-time perioperative documentation systems. Such technologies may improve consistency of infection-prevention practices and facilitate continuous quality-improvement initiatives within surgical departments.

Overall, current evidence supports checklist-based interventions as valuable tools for reducing surgical-site infections through improved adherence to antibiotic prophylaxis protocols, reinforcement of sterile surgical practices, enhanced communication, and standardization of perioperative infection-control measures (Treadwell et al., 2014; Bergs et al., 2014).

5. COMMUNICATION, TEAMWORK, AND HUMAN FACTORS IN CHECKLIST EFFECTIVENESS

Effective communication and teamwork are fundamental components of safe surgical practice and play a critical role in determining perioperative patient outcomes. The operating theatre is a dynamic and high-risk clinical environment that requires constant coordination among multidisciplinary professionals including surgeons, anaesthesiologists, nurses, operation theatre technologists, and support staff. Failures in communication, unclear role allocation, inadequate information exchange, and hierarchical barriers have been identified as major contributors to preventable surgical complications and adverse events worldwide.

Checklist-based interventions were developed not only to ensure completion of technical safety steps but also to improve communication, situational awareness, and multidisciplinary collaboration within the operating theatre. The World Health Organization (WHO) Surgical Safety Checklist particularly emphasises active verbal participation by all team members during the Sign In, Time Out, and Sign Out phases. This structured communication process encourages team members to discuss patient details, anticipated procedural difficulties, equipment requirements, blood-loss concerns, anaesthesia risks, and postoperative management plans before surgery proceeds.

The “Time Out” phase is widely regarded as one of the most important components of the surgical safety checklist because it creates a formal opportunity for multidisciplinary interaction immediately before incision. During this stage, all operating room personnel pause surgical activity to confirm patient identity, operative site, planned procedure, and critical safety considerations. This collective verification process improves shared situational awareness and reduces the likelihood of communication-related errors.

Several studies have demonstrated that checklist implementation improves teamwork, communication quality, and safety climate within surgical departments. Enhanced communication allows earlier identification of potential problems, improves coordination during critical intraoperative events, and promotes more effective responses to unexpected complications. Surgical teams using checklists effectively often demonstrate improved collaboration, reduced interpersonal conflict, and greater willingness among junior staff members to raise safety concerns.

Human factors play an equally important role in determining checklist effectiveness. Fatigue, stress, cognitive overload, distraction, time pressure, and hierarchical operating theatre culture can significantly influence compliance with perioperative safety practices. In many surgical environments, junior staff may feel reluctant to challenge senior surgeons or interrupt procedural workflow even when safety concerns arise. Checklist-based interventions aim to reduce such hierarchical barriers by promoting structured communication in which all team members are

encouraged to participate equally in patient-safety verification.

Psychological safety within the operating theatre is increasingly recognised as an essential determinant of successful checklist implementation. Teams functioning within supportive and collaborative environments are more likely to engage meaningfully with checklist processes, communicate openly, and identify potential safety threats before they result in patient harm. Conversely, hostile or authoritarian workplace cultures may discourage active participation and reduce the effectiveness of checklist-based interventions.

Despite the recognised importance of communication and teamwork, evidence suggests that checklist effectiveness varies considerably depending on implementation quality and behavioural engagement. In some healthcare settings, checklist completion becomes a routine administrative task performed rapidly without active discussion or multidisciplinary involvement. Such superficial “tick-box” behaviour limits the intended communication benefits of surgical safety checklists and may explain why some institutions fail to demonstrate significant improvements in patient outcomes despite formal checklist adoption.

Training and simulation-based education have therefore become increasingly important components of successful checklist implementation programs. Simulation exercises allow surgical teams to practise communication strategies, role clarification, crisis management, and checklist execution in realistic perioperative scenarios. Such training improves familiarity with checklist processes and strengthens team confidence in speaking up about patient-safety concerns.

Leadership support also strongly influences communication quality and checklist compliance. Surgical departments in which senior surgeons, anaesthesiologists, and theatre leaders actively participate in checklist execution generally demonstrate stronger safety culture and better multidisciplinary engagement. Visible leadership commitment reinforces the importance of patient safety and encourages consistent adherence to checklist protocols among all operating room personnel.

Recent developments in perioperative safety research increasingly emphasise behavioural science and human-factor engineering approaches to improve checklist utilisation. Strategies such as structured communication training, teamwork assessment tools, digital prompts, workflow redesign, and safety-culture interventions are being explored to optimise checklist effectiveness and promote long-term sustainability.

Overall, current evidence indicates that the success of checklist-based interventions depends not only on completion of procedural safety steps but also on the quality of communication, teamwork, behavioural engagement, and organisational culture within the operating theatre. Surgical safety checklists function most effectively when used as active communication tools that promote collaboration, situational awareness, and shared responsibility for patient safety among multidisciplinary surgical teams (Russ et al., 2015; Leape & Berwick, 2005).

6. CHECKLIST COMPLIANCE, IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES, AND INSTITUTIONAL SAFETY CULTURE

Although surgical safety checklists have been widely adopted across healthcare systems worldwide, achieving consistent compliance and effective implementation remains a major challenge in perioperative patient safety practice. Evidence suggests that the success of checklist-based interventions depends not merely on checklist availability, but on the quality of implementation, multidisciplinary engagement, institutional commitment, and the overall safety culture within surgical departments.

Checklist compliance refers to the consistent and meaningful execution of all required safety steps during perioperative care. High compliance rates have generally been associated with improved patient outcomes, reductions in postoperative complications, enhanced communication, and lower

perioperative mortality. However, compliance quality varies substantially across healthcare institutions, surgical specialties, and operating theatre environments.

One of the most frequently reported barriers to effective checklist implementation is time pressure within busy surgical schedules. In high-volume operating theatres, surgical teams may perceive checklist execution as time-consuming or disruptive to workflow efficiency, leading to rushed or incomplete checklist performance. Emergency procedures present additional challenges because rapid clinical decision-making and urgent operative intervention may limit the opportunity for thorough checklist completion.

Hierarchical operating theatre culture also significantly influences checklist compliance. In many healthcare settings, junior staff members may feel hesitant to question senior surgeons or interrupt surgical workflow even when safety concerns arise. Such hierarchical barriers reduce open communication and limit active multidisciplinary participation during checklist execution. Consequently, the checklist may become a passive administrative exercise rather than an interactive patient-safety tool.

Another major implementation challenge involves “tick-box” behaviour, in which checklist items are formally completed without meaningful verification or team engagement. Several observational studies have demonstrated that some surgical teams perform checklist steps mechanically without active discussion, confirmation, or behavioural participation. In such circumstances, reported compliance rates may appear high despite minimal actual improvement in patient-safety practices.

Lack of staff training and inadequate understanding of checklist objectives further contribute to poor implementation quality. Healthcare professionals who perceive checklists as bureaucratic documentation requirements rather than clinical safety tools are less likely to engage actively in the process. Effective education regarding the rationale, evidence base, and patient-safety importance of surgical checklists is therefore essential for improving compliance and behavioural engagement.

Leadership support represents another critical determinant of successful implementation. Hospitals in which senior surgeons, anaesthesiologists, nursing leaders, and operating theatre administrators actively participate in checklist processes generally demonstrate stronger compliance and more positive safety culture. Visible leadership commitment reinforces the importance of perioperative safety practices and encourages accountability among surgical team members.

Institutional safety culture strongly influences long-term sustainability of checklist-based interventions. Organisations that prioritise patient safety, multidisciplinary collaboration, open communication, and continuous quality improvement are more likely to achieve meaningful improvements through checklist implementation. Conversely, healthcare environments characterised by poor teamwork, resistance to change, inadequate staffing, and limited administrative support often demonstrate weaker compliance and reduced effectiveness.

Regular audit and feedback mechanisms have been shown to improve checklist adherence and implementation quality. Continuous monitoring of compliance rates, direct observation of checklist performance, and feedback sessions with surgical teams help identify gaps in practice and reinforce accountability. Institutions that integrate checklist monitoring within broader quality-improvement programs frequently demonstrate better long-term sustainability and patient-safety outcomes.

Simulation-based training and team-based educational interventions have also emerged as important strategies for improving checklist compliance. Simulation exercises allow surgical teams to practise communication skills, crisis management, role allocation, and checklist execution in realistic perioperative scenarios. Such interventions strengthen teamwork and increase staff confidence in identifying and addressing patient-safety concerns.

Resource limitations present additional challenges in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where staff shortages, inadequate infrastructure, inconsistent equipment availability, and limited

training opportunities may affect implementation quality. Nevertheless, several studies suggest that checklist-based interventions may provide particularly important benefits in resource-constrained settings by promoting standardisation of essential perioperative safety practices.

Recent technological developments aim to improve compliance through integration of electronic surgical checklists, automated reminders, real-time documentation systems, and digital workflow platforms within operating theatres. These technologies may reduce documentation errors, improve consistency of checklist execution, and facilitate continuous compliance monitoring. Artificial intelligence-assisted systems are additionally being explored to support automated safety prompts and enhance perioperative workflow management.

Overall, current evidence demonstrates that successful checklist implementation requires more than mandatory policy adoption. Effective perioperative safety improvement depends on meaningful multidisciplinary engagement, strong institutional safety culture, leadership involvement, staff education, continuous monitoring, and behavioural commitment to patient safety principles. Surgical safety checklists are most effective when integrated within broader organizational efforts aimed at improving communication, teamwork, accountability, and quality of surgical care (Urbach et al., 2014; Russ et al., 2015).

7. CHECKLIST IMPLEMENTATION IN LOW- AND MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES (LMICs)

Low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) bear a disproportionately high burden of preventable surgical morbidity and mortality due to limited healthcare infrastructure, workforce shortages, inadequate perioperative resources, inconsistent infection-control practices, and restricted access to specialised surgical care. In many resource-constrained healthcare settings, deficiencies in communication, equipment availability, perioperative monitoring, sterilisation systems, and standardised safety protocols contribute significantly to adverse surgical outcomes.

The implementation of checklist-based interventions, particularly the World Health Organization (WHO) Surgical Safety Checklist, has therefore attracted considerable attention as a low-cost and scalable strategy for improving perioperative patient safety within LMICs. Because surgical checklists primarily focus on communication, verification, teamwork, and standardisation rather than expensive technology, they are considered highly suitable for healthcare systems with limited resources.

Several studies have demonstrated that checklist implementation in LMICs is associated with meaningful reductions in postoperative complications, surgical-site infections, anaesthesia-related adverse events, and perioperative mortality. The landmark WHO multicentre study conducted across hospitals in both high-income and low-income countries reported particularly substantial improvements in patient outcomes within resource-limited settings following checklist implementation. These findings suggested that checklist-based interventions may provide greater relative benefit in environments where baseline safety processes are less consistently standardised.

One important advantage of checklist-based interventions in LMICs is the reinforcement of essential perioperative safety measures that may otherwise be inconsistently performed. Structured verification of patient identity, operative site, antibiotic prophylaxis, anaesthesia preparation, equipment readiness, blood availability, and postoperative planning improves standardisation of care and reduces preventable errors arising from system-related deficiencies.

Checklist implementation additionally promotes improved communication and teamwork among multidisciplinary surgical teams. In many resource-limited settings, operating theatre communication may be affected by staffing shortages, inadequate training opportunities, and hierarchical workplace culture. The structured communication process associated with surgical safety checklists encourages active participation and enhances situational awareness among team members, thereby strengthening

perioperative coordination and patient safety practices.

Despite these benefits, implementation of surgical safety checklists within LMICs presents several unique challenges. Resource limitations remain one of the most significant barriers to effective checklist utilisation. Many hospitals face shortages of trained healthcare personnel, essential surgical equipment, monitoring devices, sterile supplies, and perioperative medications. Under such circumstances, checklist completion alone may not fully compensate for broader infrastructural deficiencies affecting surgical safety.

Inadequate staff training and limited awareness regarding checklist objectives also influence implementation quality. In some healthcare institutions, surgical personnel may lack formal education regarding perioperative safety principles and the evidence supporting checklist use. Consequently, checklist execution may become inconsistent or superficial without meaningful multidisciplinary engagement.

Language diversity and literacy limitations represent additional implementation challenges in certain LMIC settings. To address these barriers, several institutions have developed locally adapted checklists incorporating simplified language, pictorial illustrations, or specialty-specific modifications appropriate for regional healthcare environments. Such adaptations have demonstrated improved usability and staff acceptance in resource-constrained settings.

Hierarchical organisational culture may further affect compliance and communication during checklist execution. Junior healthcare workers may feel reluctant to question senior clinicians or raise safety concerns during surgical procedures, limiting the effectiveness of multidisciplinary verification processes. Strengthening teamwork culture and promoting psychological safety are therefore essential components of successful implementation strategies.

Financial and administrative constraints may additionally limit long-term sustainability of checklist programs within LMICs. Continuous audit systems, staff education programs, compliance monitoring, and quality-improvement initiatives often require institutional support and healthcare investment that may be difficult to maintain in resource-limited environments.

Nevertheless, several studies suggest that even partial implementation of checklist-based interventions may produce clinically meaningful improvements in perioperative outcomes within LMICs. By reinforcing fundamental safety principles and encouraging structured communication, surgical checklists provide an accessible and cost-effective approach for strengthening perioperative care quality in settings where advanced technological solutions may not be feasible.

Recent global surgical safety initiatives increasingly emphasise adaptation of checklist systems according to local healthcare needs, cultural context, resource availability, and surgical workload. International collaborations, training programs, and perioperative quality-improvement networks have also contributed to wider dissemination of checklist-based patient-safety practices across resource-limited healthcare systems.

Overall, current evidence supports checklist-based interventions as valuable and cost-effective tools for improving perioperative patient safety within low- and middle-income countries through enhanced communication, standardization, and reinforcement of essential perioperative safety practices (Meara et al., 2015; Kwok et al., 2013).

8. SPECIALTY-SPECIFIC SURGICAL SAFETY CHECKLISTS

Although the World Health Organization (WHO) Surgical Safety Checklist was developed as a universal perioperative safety tool applicable across all surgical disciplines, increasing evidence suggests that specialty-specific modifications may further improve checklist effectiveness by addressing procedure-specific risks and clinical requirements. Different surgical specialties involve unique technical challenges, patient risks, equipment needs, and perioperative considerations that may not be fully addressed by a standard generic checklist.

Consequently, several healthcare institutions and professional surgical organisations have developed specialty-adapted checklist systems designed to enhance patient safety within specific operative environments. These modified checklists retain the core principles of communication, verification, and teamwork while incorporating additional safety measures tailored to the demands of individual surgical specialties.

In neurosurgery, specialty-specific checklists frequently include verification of patient positioning, intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring, imaging availability, anticoagulation management, and prevention of pressure-related injuries. Neurosurgical procedures often involve prolonged operative duration, high-risk anatomical structures, and complex equipment, making procedural standardisation particularly important for reducing complications and preventing neurological injury. Cardiothoracic surgery represents another high-risk specialty in which checklist adaptations have demonstrated clinical value. Cardiac surgical checklists commonly include verification of cardiopulmonary bypass preparation, anticoagulation protocols, blood product availability, invasive monitoring systems, temperature management, and postoperative intensive care planning. Such structured perioperative verification helps reduce technical omissions and improves coordination among surgical, anaesthesia, perfusion, and intensive care teams.

In orthopaedic surgery, checklist modifications frequently focus on implant verification, laterality confirmation, prophylactic antibiotic administration, tourniquet safety, and prevention of retained surgical instruments. Wrong-site surgery and implant-related errors represent important concerns within orthopaedic practice, and checklist-based verification processes have contributed significantly to reducing these preventable adverse events.

Paediatric surgery presents additional challenges due to age-related physiological differences, weight-based medication dosing, airway management considerations, and increased vulnerability to hypothermia and fluid imbalance. Paediatric surgical checklists therefore often incorporate verification of weight calculations, paediatric-specific equipment availability, temperature management protocols, and age-appropriate anaesthesia preparation. Such adaptations improve safety and reduce the likelihood of medication or equipment-related errors in paediatric patients.

Obstetric and gynaecological surgery has also benefited from specialty-specific checklist interventions. Obstetric safety checklists commonly include assessment of maternal haemorrhage risk, blood availability, foetal monitoring, neonatal resuscitation preparation, and emergency caesarean section readiness. Given the unpredictable nature of obstetric emergencies, structured communication and rapid multidisciplinary coordination are particularly important for improving maternal and neonatal outcomes.

Trauma and emergency surgery settings require additional flexibility because procedures are frequently performed under conditions of severe time pressure, haemodynamic instability, incomplete patient information, and resource constraints. Emergency surgical checklists are therefore often abbreviated or modified to prioritise essential life-saving verification steps while maintaining rapid workflow efficiency. Even simplified checklist systems in trauma surgery have demonstrated improvements in communication, team coordination, and perioperative safety.

Specialty-specific checklist implementation additionally facilitates greater acceptance among surgical teams by improving perceived clinical relevance. Healthcare professionals may demonstrate stronger engagement with checklist systems that directly address the unique risks and workflow challenges associated with their specialty practice. Consequently, customised checklist adaptation may improve compliance and reduce resistance to implementation.

However, excessive checklist complexity or over-customisation may also create implementation challenges. Long or overly detailed checklists can increase cognitive burden, prolong operative preparation time, and reduce compliance among busy surgical teams. Effective specialty-specific

checklist design therefore requires careful balance between comprehensive safety verification and practical usability within routine perioperative workflow.

Recent advances in digital perioperative systems have further supported development of customised specialty-specific electronic checklists integrated with hospital information systems and surgical workflow platforms. These technologies allow dynamic checklist adaptation according to surgical procedure type, patient risk profile, and institutional protocols while improving documentation accuracy and compliance monitoring.

Despite increasing use of specialty-specific checklists, evidence regarding their comparative effectiveness remains limited in several surgical disciplines. Further multicentre research is required to determine optimal checklist design, evaluate long-term clinical impact, and establish standardised approaches for specialty-specific perioperative safety interventions.

Overall, specialty-specific surgical safety checklists represent an important evolution of checklist-based patient-safety strategies. By addressing procedure-specific risks and promoting targeted perioperative verification, specialty-specific checklist systems may further enhance communication, teamwork, standardisation, and patient outcomes across diverse surgical specialties (Abbott et al., 2018).

9. DIGITAL SURGICAL CHECKLISTS AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN PERIOPERATIVE SAFETY

Recent advancements in healthcare technology have significantly transformed perioperative patient-safety practices, leading to the development of digital surgical checklists, electronic perioperative documentation systems, and artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted operating room technologies. These innovations represent an important evolution of traditional paper-based checklist systems and aim to improve checklist compliance, workflow efficiency, communication accuracy, data integration, and perioperative decision-making within modern surgical environments.

Traditional paper-based surgical safety checklists, although effective, are often associated with several practical limitations including incomplete documentation, inconsistent compliance, lack of real-time monitoring, difficulty in audit collection, and reduced integration with electronic medical records. In many healthcare institutions, paper checklists may be completed retrospectively or superficially without meaningful multidisciplinary engagement, thereby limiting their effectiveness in improving patient safety.

Digital surgical checklist systems were introduced to address these limitations through integration with electronic health records (EHRs), perioperative workflow software, and hospital information systems. Electronic checklists allow automatic documentation, real-time verification, automated reminders, and mandatory completion of critical safety steps before progression to subsequent operative phases. Such systems improve standardisation and reduce the likelihood of omitted perioperative safety measures.

One major advantage of digital checklist systems is enhanced compliance monitoring and quality assurance. Electronic platforms can generate automated audit reports, compliance statistics, and performance feedback for surgical teams and hospital administrators. Continuous monitoring allows institutions to identify implementation gaps, assess adherence trends, and develop targeted quality-improvement strategies aimed at strengthening perioperative safety practices.

Digital systems also improve accessibility and integration of patient information within the operating theatre. Automated retrieval of patient identifiers, allergies, imaging studies, laboratory results, blood availability, and medication records reduces transcription errors and facilitates more efficient perioperative verification processes. This integration enhances situational awareness and supports safer clinical decision-making during surgery.

Artificial intelligence-assisted perioperative technologies represent an emerging area of surgical

safety research. AI systems are being developed to support automated checklist prompting, voice-assisted checklist execution, workflow monitoring, and predictive risk analysis within the operating room. These technologies aim to reduce reliance on manual checklist initiation and improve consistency of perioperative safety verification.

Speech-recognition systems integrated with operating theatre environments may allow automated detection of checklist completion phases and facilitate hands-free communication during surgery. AI-based systems can additionally analyse perioperative workflow patterns, identify deviations from standard protocols, and provide real-time safety alerts to surgical teams when critical checklist steps are omitted.

Machine learning algorithms are also being explored for perioperative risk prediction and personalised surgical safety planning. By analysing patient characteristics, comorbidities, laboratory findings, and intraoperative variables, AI-assisted systems may help identify patients at increased risk of complications, surgical-site infections, haemodynamic instability, or postoperative deterioration. Such predictive capabilities may further strengthen perioperative planning and checklist customisation according to individual patient risk profiles.

Digital and AI-assisted checklist systems may be particularly beneficial in high-volume surgical centres where workflow complexity, documentation burden, and communication challenges increase the risk of perioperative errors. Automated systems may reduce cognitive workload among healthcare professionals and improve consistency of checklist implementation during busy operative schedules.

Despite these advantages, several challenges remain associated with digital and AI-based perioperative technologies. Implementation costs, infrastructure requirements, technical maintenance, cybersecurity concerns, and staff training needs may limit widespread adoption, particularly in low-resource healthcare settings. Resistance to technological change and concerns regarding increased administrative burden may additionally affect staff acceptance and engagement. Another important concern involves excessive dependence on automated systems, which may reduce active communication and critical thinking among surgical team members if not carefully integrated within perioperative workflow. Surgical safety ultimately depends on multidisciplinary collaboration and clinical judgement, and technology should support rather than replace meaningful team interaction.

Current evidence regarding long-term clinical effectiveness of AI-assisted checklist systems remains limited, as many technologies are still in early developmental or pilot implementation stages. Further prospective multicentre studies are required to evaluate their impact on morbidity, mortality, workflow efficiency, communication quality, and patient safety outcomes.

Nevertheless, digital surgical checklists and artificial intelligence-assisted perioperative systems represent promising advancements in modern surgical safety practice. By improving standardisation, compliance monitoring, real-time communication, and data integration, digital and AI-assisted checklist systems may further strengthen perioperative safety interventions and contribute to safer, more efficient, and patient-centred surgical care in the future (Abbott et al., 2018).

DISCUSSION

The present review highlights the significant role of checklist-based interventions in improving perioperative patient safety and reducing preventable surgical complications and mortality across diverse healthcare settings. Since the introduction of the World Health Organization (WHO) Surgical Safety Checklist under the “Safe Surgery Saves Lives” initiative, perioperative safety practices have increasingly shifted toward structured communication, multidisciplinary teamwork, and system-oriented approaches to patient care rather than reliance solely on individual clinical performance (World Health Organization, 2009; Gawande, 2009).

The findings of this review are consistent with the landmark multicentre study conducted by Haynes et al. (2009), who demonstrated a significant reduction in postoperative complications and inpatient mortality following implementation of the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist across eight international hospitals. Similarly, de Vries et al. (2010) reported improved patient outcomes and reduced perioperative complications after introduction of the SURPASS perioperative safety system. These studies established checklist-based interventions as important evidence-based patient-safety strategies within modern surgical practice.

Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses further support these findings. Berge et al. (2014) concluded that implementation of the WHO Surgical Safety Checklist was associated with significant reductions in postoperative complications and perioperative morbidity across multiple healthcare settings. Likewise, Abbott et al. (2018) demonstrated that checklist effectiveness was strongly associated with improved communication, procedural standardisation, and multidisciplinary collaboration within the operating theatre environment.

One of the most important findings emerging from current literature is that checklist effectiveness depends not merely on checklist completion, but on the quality of implementation and behavioural engagement of surgical teams. Russ et al. (2015) observed that hospitals with strong leadership support, positive safety culture, and active multidisciplinary participation demonstrated substantially better checklist compliance and perioperative outcomes compared with institutions where checklist use became a routine administrative process. These findings suggest that surgical safety checklists function most effectively as communication and teamwork tools rather than simple documentation instruments.

Communication improvement appears to represent one of the primary mechanisms through which checklist-based interventions reduce perioperative morbidity and mortality. Treadwell et al. (2014) reported that structured communication processes such as the “Time Out” phase improve situational awareness, reduce communication failures, and facilitate earlier identification of potential intraoperative risks. Similarly, Leape and Berwick (2005) emphasised that many preventable adverse surgical events originate from system failures and ineffective communication rather than technical incompetence alone.

The present review also demonstrates that checklist implementation may provide particularly important benefits within low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where baseline complication rates and perioperative mortality are frequently higher. Meara et al. (2015) highlighted the substantial global burden of preventable surgical morbidity and mortality in resource-limited settings and emphasised the importance of scalable perioperative safety interventions. Supporting this view, Kwok et al. (2013) demonstrated improved perioperative safety and standardisation following checklist implementation within a resource-constrained healthcare environment.

Despite the substantial evidence supporting checklist-based interventions, findings across studies remain somewhat heterogeneous. While Haynes et al. (2009) and de Vries et al. (2010) demonstrated significant reductions in mortality and complications, Urbach et al. (2014) reported limited improvement in mortality outcomes following mandatory checklist implementation within Ontario hospitals. These conflicting findings may reflect differences in institutional culture, implementation fidelity, staff engagement, compliance monitoring, and healthcare infrastructure.

Another important issue identified within current literature involves the phenomenon of superficial checklist completion or “tick-box” behaviour. Russ et al. (2015) observed that checklist effectiveness decreases considerably when healthcare professionals complete checklist items mechanically without meaningful communication or active multidisciplinary participation. Such findings reinforce the importance of behavioural engagement and organisational culture in determining the success of perioperative safety interventions.

Human factors also significantly influence checklist effectiveness. Fatigue, hierarchical barriers, cognitive overload, time pressure, and resistance to behavioural change may affect communication quality and compliance during perioperative care. Leape and Berwick (2005) emphasised that sustainable patient-safety improvement requires healthcare systems to address organisational culture and human-factor engineering rather than focusing exclusively on individual performance errors.

Recent technological developments have further expanded the role of checklist-based interventions in surgical safety. Abbott et al. (2018) suggested that digital surgical checklists, electronic perioperative documentation systems, and artificial intelligence-assisted workflow technologies may improve compliance monitoring, communication efficiency, and perioperative risk management. These innovations may contribute significantly to future patient-safety initiatives, although evidence regarding long-term clinical effectiveness remains limited.

The review additionally highlights several important limitations within existing literature. Most available studies are observational or quasi-experimental in design, while high-quality randomised controlled trials remain relatively limited. Variability in implementation methods, institutional settings, compliance assessment, and outcome measurement further complicates direct comparison between studies. Publication bias may also exist because studies reporting positive outcomes are more likely to be published than studies demonstrating neutral findings.

Another major challenge involves maintaining long-term compliance and behavioural engagement following checklist implementation. Initial improvements may gradually decline if continuous staff education, audit systems, leadership support, and institutional reinforcement are not sustained over time. Consequently, successful checklist implementation requires ongoing multidisciplinary commitment and integration of perioperative safety practices within broader organisational quality-improvement frameworks.

Overall, the findings of this review support the role of checklist-based interventions as valuable patient-safety tools capable of improving perioperative outcomes through enhanced communication, teamwork, procedural standardisation, and prevention of avoidable surgical errors. However, sustainable improvement depends not solely on checklist adoption itself, but on meaningful multidisciplinary engagement, institutional commitment, behavioural change, and development of a strong perioperative patient-safety culture.

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

The future of checklist-based interventions in surgery is expected to evolve significantly with ongoing advancements in digital healthcare systems, artificial intelligence, perioperative analytics, and patient-safety research. Although traditional paper-based surgical safety checklists have demonstrated substantial benefits in reducing perioperative morbidity and mortality, emerging technologies and evolving perioperative care models offer opportunities to further improve the effectiveness, efficiency, and sustainability of checklist implementation in modern surgical practice. One of the most important future developments involves the widespread adoption of digital and electronic surgical checklist systems integrated with electronic health records (EHRs) and hospital information platforms. Digital systems may improve real-time documentation, automate checklist prompts, reduce omission-related errors, facilitate compliance monitoring, and enhance communication between multidisciplinary surgical teams. Automated audit generation and data collection may additionally strengthen quality-improvement initiatives and institutional patient-safety programs.

Artificial intelligence-assisted perioperative systems also represent a promising area of future research and clinical application. AI-based technologies may support automated checklist execution, voice-assisted workflow management, predictive complication analysis, and personalised perioperative risk assessment. Machine learning algorithms capable of analysing patient

characteristics, intraoperative variables, and perioperative trends may help identify high-risk patients and support more targeted surgical safety interventions.

Future perioperative safety systems may additionally incorporate smart operating theatre technologies, wearable monitoring devices, real-time communication platforms, and automated clinical decision-support systems. Integration of these technologies with checklist-based interventions could improve situational awareness, workflow coordination, and rapid detection of perioperative safety threats during surgical procedures.

Specialty-specific and patient-centred checklist adaptations are also likely to expand further in the future. Increasing recognition of procedure-specific risks and patient variability may encourage development of customised checklists tailored to particular surgical disciplines, emergency procedures, paediatric populations, transplant surgery, robotic surgery, and minimally invasive techniques. Such targeted approaches may improve clinical relevance, staff engagement, and patient-safety outcomes.

Another important future direction involves strengthening behavioural and human-factor approaches to checklist implementation. Research increasingly emphasises that checklist effectiveness depends heavily on communication quality, teamwork, leadership, institutional culture, and psychological safety within operating theatre environments. Future implementation strategies are therefore likely to focus more extensively on simulation-based training, teamwork education, communication skills development, and organisational culture improvement rather than checklist completion alone.

Greater emphasis is also expected on long-term sustainability and continuous quality improvement. Future healthcare systems may adopt more structured audit frameworks, real-time compliance monitoring, performance feedback systems, and perioperative safety benchmarking programs to maintain checklist effectiveness over time and reduce compliance fatigue.

In low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), future efforts may focus on developing low-cost, resource-appropriate checklist systems adapted to local healthcare infrastructure, staffing patterns, and cultural contexts. International collaboration, global surgical safety initiatives, telemedicine support, and digital training platforms may contribute significantly to expanding checklist implementation and perioperative safety improvement in resource-limited settings.

Despite these promising developments, several challenges remain for future research and implementation. Standardisation of checklist outcome measurements, evaluation of long-term effectiveness, assessment of cost-effectiveness, and validation of AI-assisted systems remain important areas requiring further investigation. Additional multicentre prospective studies will be necessary to determine the optimal integration of emerging technologies within routine perioperative practice.

Overall, the future of checklist-based interventions in surgery lies in the integration of technological innovation, behavioural science, multidisciplinary collaboration, and system-based patient-safety strategies. Continued refinement of checklist implementation approaches may further strengthen perioperative safety culture, reduce preventable surgical harm, and improve patient outcomes across diverse healthcare environments worldwide.

LIMITATIONS OF THE REVIEW

This review has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the study was conducted as a narrative review rather than a formal systematic review or meta-analysis, which may introduce selection bias and limit quantitative comparison between included studies. Although extensive literature was reviewed, variability in study design, implementation methods, outcome measures, and healthcare settings may affect the generalisability of findings.

Second, the included literature demonstrated considerable heterogeneity regarding checklist

implementation strategies, compliance assessment, institutional safety culture, and perioperative outcome reporting. Such variability made direct comparison between studies challenging and limited the ability to establish standardised conclusions regarding the magnitude of checklist effectiveness. Third, the review primarily included studies published in the English language, which may have excluded relevant research conducted in other languages and introduced language bias. Additionally, publication bias may exist because studies demonstrating positive outcomes following checklist implementation are more likely to be published than studies reporting neutral or negative findings. Another limitation involves the relatively limited availability of high-quality randomised controlled trials evaluating surgical safety checklists, as many existing studies are observational, cohort-based, or quasi-experimental in design. Consequently, establishing direct causal relationships between checklist implementation and improved perioperative outcomes remains challenging in certain clinical contexts.

Furthermore, evidence regarding emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence-assisted perioperative systems and advanced digital checklist platforms remains limited because many of these innovations are still in early developmental or pilot implementation stages.

Despite these limitations, the present review provides a comprehensive synthesis of current evidence regarding checklist-based interventions in surgery and highlights important factors influencing perioperative patient safety, checklist effectiveness, and future developments in surgical safety practice.

CONCLUSION

Checklist-based interventions have emerged as one of the most important and evidence-supported patient-safety strategies in modern surgical practice. The present review demonstrates that implementation of surgical safety checklists, particularly the World Health Organization (WHO) Surgical Safety Checklist, is associated with significant improvements in perioperative patient safety through reductions in postoperative morbidity, surgical-site infections, perioperative complications, and mortality across diverse healthcare settings.

The effectiveness of checklist-based interventions is primarily attributed to improved communication, multidisciplinary teamwork, procedural standardisation, and prevention of omission-related errors during perioperative care. Structured verification processes such as the Sign In, Time Out, and Sign Out phases promote shared situational awareness, strengthen coordination among surgical team members, and reinforce adherence to essential safety practices throughout the surgical pathway.

However, the review also highlights that checklist effectiveness depends not solely on checklist presence or completion, but on the quality of implementation, institutional safety culture, leadership involvement, staff training, and meaningful multidisciplinary engagement. Superficial “tick-box” behaviour, poor compliance, inadequate communication, and hierarchical barriers may significantly reduce the intended benefits of checklist-based interventions.

The review further demonstrates that checklist systems may provide particularly important benefits in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where baseline perioperative complication rates and mortality are often higher and standardisation of safety practices may be limited. In such settings, checklist-based interventions offer a practical, scalable, and cost-effective strategy for strengthening perioperative patient safety and reducing preventable surgical harm.

Emerging developments including digital surgical checklists, artificial intelligence-assisted perioperative systems, electronic documentation platforms, and specialty-specific checklist adaptations represent promising future directions in surgical safety practice. These innovations may further improve compliance monitoring, workflow integration, perioperative communication, and patient-centred risk management in modern healthcare systems.

Despite considerable progress, ongoing challenges remain regarding long-term sustainability, behavioural engagement, implementation quality, and standardisation of outcome assessment. Continued investment in staff education, teamwork training, institutional leadership, audit systems, and patient-safety culture will therefore remain essential for maximising the effectiveness of checklist-based interventions in surgical practice.

Overall, checklist-based interventions represent a fundamental component of contemporary perioperative patient-safety strategies. When implemented effectively within supportive organisational environments, surgical safety checklists serve not merely as documentation tools, but as powerful mechanisms for improving communication, reducing preventable errors, strengthening multidisciplinary collaboration, and ultimately enhancing surgical outcomes and patient safety worldwide.

REFERENCES (APA 7th Edition)

1. Abbott, T. E. F., Ahmad, T., Phull, M. K., Fowler, A. J., Hewson, R., Biccard, B. M., Chew, M. S., Gillies, M., Pearse, R. M., & International Surgical Outcomes Study (ISOS) group (2018). The surgical safety checklist and patient outcomes after surgery: a prospective observational cohort study, systematic review and meta-analysis. *British journal of anaesthesia*, *120*(1), 146–155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2017.08.002>
2. Bergs, J., Hellings, J., Cleemput, I., Zurel, Ö., De Troyer, V., Van Hiel, M., Demeere, J. L., Claeys, D., & Vandijck, D. (2014). Systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of the World Health Organization surgical safety checklist on postoperative complications. *The British journal of surgery*, *101*(3), 150–158. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.9381>
3. de Vries, E. N., Prins, H. A., Crolla, R. M., den Outer, A. J., van Andel, G., van Helden, S. H., Schlack, W. S., van Putten, M. A., Gouma, D. J., Dijkgraaf, M. G., Smorenburg, S. M., Boermeester, M. A., & SURPASS Collaborative Group (2010). Effect of a comprehensive surgical safety system on patient outcomes. *The New England journal of medicine*, *363*(20), 1928–1937. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMs0911535>
4. El-Boghdadly, K., Wong, D. J. N., Owen, R., Neuman, M. D., Pocock, S., Carlisle, J. B., Johnstone, C., Andruszkiewicz, P., Baker, P. A., Biccard, B. M., Bryson, G. L., Chan, M. T. V., Cheng, M. H., Chin, K. J., Coburn, M., Jonsson Fagerlund, M., Myatra, S. N., Myles, P. S., O'Sullivan, E., Pasin, L., ... Ahmad, I. (2020). Risks to healthcare workers following tracheal intubation of patients with COVID-19: a prospective international multicentre cohort study. *Anaesthesia*, *75*(11), 1437–1447. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.15170>
5. Gawande, A. (2009). *The checklist manifesto: How to get things right*. Metropolitan Books.
6. Haugen, A. S., Søfteland, E., Almeland, S. K., Sevdalis, N., Vonen, B., Eide, G. E., Nortvedt, M. W., & Harthug, S. (2015). Effect of the World Health Organization checklist on patient outcomes: a stepped wedge cluster randomized controlled trial. *Annals of surgery*, *261*(5), 821–828. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000000716>
7. Haynes, A. B., Weiser, T. G., Berry, W. R., Lipsitz, S. R., Breizat, A. H., Dellinger, E. P., Herbosa, T., Joseph, S., Kibatala, P. L., Lapitan, M. C., Merry, A. F., Moorthy, K., Reznick,

- R. K., Taylor, B., Gawande, A. A., & Safe Surgery Saves Lives Study Group (2009). A surgical safety checklist to reduce morbidity and mortality in a global population. *The New England journal of medicine*, 360(5), 491–499. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa0810119>
8. Kwok, A. C., Funk, L. M., Baltaga, R., Lipsitz, S. R., Merry, A. F., Dziekan, G., Ciobanu, G., Berry, W. R., & Gawande, A. A. (2013). Implementation of the World Health Organization surgical safety checklist, including introduction of pulse oximetry, in a resource-limited setting. *Annals of surgery*, 257(4), 633–639. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e3182777fa4>
 9. Sharek P. J. (2012). The Emergence of the Trigger Tool as the Premier Measurement Strategy for Patient Safety. *AHRQ WebM&M : morbidity & mortality rounds on the Web*, 2012(5), 120.
 10. Meara, J. G., Leather, A. J. M., Hagander, L., Alkire, B. C., Alonso, N., Ameh, E. A., et al. (2015). Global Surgery 2030: Evidence and solutions for achieving health, welfare, and economic development. *The Lancet*, 386(9993), 569–624. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(15\)60160-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60160-X)
 11. Russ, S. J., Sevdalis, N., Moorthy, K., Mayer, E. K., Rout, S., Caris, J., et al. (2015). A qualitative evaluation of the barriers and facilitators toward implementation of the WHO surgical safety checklist across hospitals in England. *Annals of Surgery*, 261(1), 81–91. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000000793>
 12. Treadwell, J. R., Lucas, S., & Tsou, A. Y. (2014). Surgical checklists: A systematic review of impacts and implementation. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 23(4), 299–318. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2012-001797>
 13. Urbach, D. R., Govindarajan, A., Saskin, R., Wilton, A. S., & Baxter, N. N. (2014). Introduction of surgical safety checklists in Ontario, Canada. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 370(11), 1029–1038. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa1308261>
 14. Weiser, T. G., Haynes, A. B., Dziekan, G., Berry, W. R., Lipsitz, S. R., & Gawande, A. A. (2010). Effect of a 19-item surgical safety checklist during urgent operations in a global patient population. *Annals of Surgery*, 251(5), 976–980. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181d970e3>
 15. World Health Organization. (2008). *WHO surgical safety checklist and implementation manual*. World Health Organization.
 16. World Health Organization. (2009). *WHO guidelines for safe surgery 2009: Safe surgery saves lives*. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/44185>

17. Gillespie, B. M., Chaboyer, W., Fairweather, N., & Slater, K. (2014). Factors that influence the expected length of operation: Results of a prospective study. *BMJ Open*, 4(2), e004410. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004410>
18. Lingard, L., Regehr, G., Orser, B., Reznick, R., Baker, G. R., Doran, D., et al. (2008). Evaluation of a preoperative checklist and team briefing among surgeons, nurses, and anesthesiologists to reduce failures in communication. *Archives of Surgery*, 143(1), 12–17. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archsurg.2007.21>
19. Nilsson, L., Lindberget, O., Gupta, A., & Vegfors, M. (2010). Implementing a pre-operative checklist to increase patient safety: A 1-year follow-up of personnel attitudes. *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica*, 54(2), 176–182. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-6576.2009.02109.x>
20. Fourcade, A., Blache, J. L., Grenier, C., Bourgain, J. L., & Minvielle, E. (2012). Barriers to staff adoption of a surgical safety checklist. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 21(3), 191–197. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2011-000094>
21. Lyons, V. E., & Popejoy, L. L. (2014). Meta-analysis of surgical safety checklist effects on teamwork, communication, morbidity, mortality, and safety. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*, 36(2), 245–261. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0193945913500919>
22. Semel, M. E., Resch, S., Haynes, A. B., Funk, L. M., Bader, A., Berry, W. R., et al. (2010). Adopting a surgical safety checklist could save money and improve the quality of care in U.S. hospitals. *Health Affairs*, 29(9), 1593–1599. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2009.0709>
23. Conley, D. M., Singer, S. J., Edmondson, L., Berry, W. R., & Gawande, A. A. (2011). Effective surgical safety checklist implementation. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, 212(5), 873–879. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2011.01.052>
24. Sewell, M., Adebibe, M., Jayakumar, P., Jowett, C., Kong, K., Vemulapalli, K., et al. (2011). Use of the WHO surgical safety checklist in trauma and orthopaedic patients. *International Orthopaedics*, 35(6), 897–901. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00264-010-1112-7>
25. Papaconstantinou, H. T., Jo, C., Reznick, S. I., Smythe, W. R., & Wehbe-Janek, H. (2013). Implementation of a surgical safety checklist: Impact on surgical team perspectives. *Ochsner Journal*, 13(3), 299–309.